

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/713,387	11/14/2003	Kent S. Brown	AF129/2003	1582		
David W. Carrithers			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			WEAVER	WEAVER, SUE A		
CARRITHERS One Paragon C	LAW OFFICE, PLLC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
6060 Dutchman	n's Lane, Suite 140	3727	3727			
Louisville, KY 40205			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					0		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/713,38		BROWN, KENT	S.		
		Examiner		Art Unit	T		
		Sue A. We	eaver	3727			
TI Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commune	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress		
THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi od for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 do for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the state tatutory period will apply and wind will apply the apply will. by statute, cause the apply will.	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status							
1) <u></u> Re	sponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
·	•	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition			-7,				
4)⊠ Cla 4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	Papers						
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 14 November plicant may not request that any objected to a country including the country of declaration is objected to a country of the country	er 2003 is/are: a) \square a ection to the drawing(s) I g the correction is require	oe held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 (DFR 1.121(d).		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been y documents have been to be so the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rui	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this Nationa	al Stage		
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summan	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of p(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03.		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	TO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/713,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There doesn't appear to be any brief description for Figure 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There doesn't appear to be any clear antecedent basis in claim 1 for the insert as claimed in claims 2-5. It isn't clear whether applicant considers this to be the heat transfer unit, claimed in claim 1.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Connors et al.

Connors teaches a conventional beverage bottle with screw on cap and an opening in the bottom as shown in Figure 8 for receiving a heat transfer device 54, as claimed. Note that the cavity is cylindrical and has an opening 56 with a larger diameter than the cavity 52, as claimed.

4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connors et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roberts.

To have provided the fastening means on the heat transfer unit so as to avoid possible loss of the cap would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Roberts.

To have further tapered the cavity and heat transfer unit so as to lower the center of gravity would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Roberts.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents show other beverage containers with heat transfer units..
- 6. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

Application/Control Number: 10/713,387 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

7.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: ___ **Certificate of Transmission** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703)_____ - _____ on _____. (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is 571 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW